

Date/Q No	Questioner	Question	Response
13 Mar 18 Q1	Robert Boyce	<p>The question posed related to the revised policy for public questions and asked if the full written question could be published together with the answer.</p> <p>A related question asking if Board members are being misled if the written question is not published.</p>	<p>The agreed Board policy states at Para 8.5 “a written record of questions and answers will be based on a summary of the question asked”. In this instance it is also worth noting that the Clerk or the Chairman may reject a question if it was considered to be defamatory, frivolous or offensive. It follows that if elements of the question fall within this definition they could be edited out.</p> <p>Board members are provided with copies of written questions and their summaries together with responses. They are currently of the view that they are not being or have not been misled.</p>
13 Mar 18 Q2	Robert Boyce	<p>The question related to the proposed Schedule of Charges that states that “ferries operating in the harbour” could be subject to “dues per passenger at a rate agreed in advance by the LHB”.</p> <p>It further asked for the statutory powers that make provision for such passenger dues to be levied with specific reference to the Littlehampton Ferry.</p> <p>The question further sought clarification on the mechanics on how dues per passenger would be collected.</p> <p>The final component of the question relating to the termination of operations.</p>	<p>This was discussed within the Agenda of the Board Meeting held on 19 Mar 18. The Board agreed the principle that passenger dues could be charged. They also agreed that any application of this charge would be considered on a case-by-case basis and would be subject to the Boards agreement.</p> <p>There is provision within s58 of the LH&ADO Act 1927, however, the wording is such that our legal team have advised that we adopt the powers described in s26 of the Harbours Act 1964.</p> <p>There is no specific reference in the Schedule of Charges to the Littlehampton Ferry.</p> <p>The process to be adopted has still to be finalised.</p> <p>See above, any impact of levying passenger dues is not yet determined.</p>

<p>13 Mar 18 Q3</p>	<p>Robert Boyce</p>	<p>The question concerned a s43 Application submitted by the Osborne of Arun Group and asked which officers had been allocated consideration of this application, how much time was allocated to reviewing this application and the provision of its consent, what was the estimate or allowance made for officer time costs and how much does the LHB estimate charging the applicant.</p>	<p>The responsibility for s43 consents rests with the LHB, as with all correspondence to the Board applications should be submitted to the Clerk. The guidance notes published on the LHB website (https://www.littlehampton.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/Consents-Required-for-Works-in-the-Harbour-Area.pdf) show that consents will be considered by the Harbour Master.</p> <p>The s43 application was considered by the Board on 19 Mar 18 and, at the recommendation of the Harbour Master, it was agreed that in this instance no charges should be levied.</p> <p>It is regrettable that there was some delay in processing this application and the reasons have previously been communicated to the questioner.</p>
-------------------------	-------------------------	---	--